UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,787	10/05/2004	John Melvin	10607/001	5786
nolaIP, LLC	7590 01/12/201	1	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2410			MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS	
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70184			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ncoig@yahoo.com NEIL@NOLAIP.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Astion Commence	10/711,787	MELVIN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Timothy L. Maust	3751		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 ∧</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under £	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Penare.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 20.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the leaving of the drawing of the d	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 2-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 has not been properly identified. In the claim listing, the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following identifiers in a parenthetical expression: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn), (Previously presented), (New), and (Not entered). Appropriate correction is required.

The status of claims 3-6 is unknown and must be presented as discussed supra. Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the entire claim with all changes (e.g., additions and deletions) as indicated in this subsection, except when the claim is being canceled. Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, cancellation of an existing claim or addition of a new claim, must include a complete listing of all claims ever presented, including the text of all pending and withdrawn claims, in the application. The claim listing, including the text of the claims, in the amendment document will serve to replace all prior versions of the claims, in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hermeling (EP1167860).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, the Hermeling reference discloses a mobile filling plant (vehicle 1, station 2, reservoir 3 and trailer 4) for filling compressed gas bottles (6) on-site with oxygen (see para. 5, line 1) (see Figures 1 and 2), comprising: transporting a transfilling station (2) to a desired transfilling site (station 2 and reservoir 3 are transported to the site by vehicle 1 and trailer 4; see para. 13); wherein the transfilling station (2) is contained in the footprint of a mobile vehicle (elements 1-4); attaching gas canisters (6) to said transfilling station (the canisters are attached at terminals provided on the underside of station 2; see para. 6); inspecting (inherent) and evacuating said gas canisters (the gas bottles and conduits are cleaned and analyzed between fillings as disclosed in paragraph 7 and paragraph 12);

vaporizing and compressing a gas and directing it into said gas canisters (see line 1 in para. 12);

disconnecting said gas canisters; and transporting said transfilling station from said

transfilling site (after being filled, the bottles are disconnected for use and the transfilling station is capable of being moved to another site).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattiola et al. (4881375) in view of Hermeling (discussed supra).

The Mattiola et al. reference discloses a system for inspecting (inherent), evacuating, vaporizing and compressing a gas such as oxygen into gas cylinders (see Column 4, line 5 to Column 6, line 20), but doesn't disclose the concept of transporting the system to the site of filling. However, the Hermeling reference discloses another "mobile gas canister transfilling station" (discussed supra) wherein the system is transported to the site of filling (see disclosure). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Mattiola et al. system by making it mobile in view of the teachings of the Hermeling reference in order to simplify the refilling of gas cylinders or vehicles for the end user by bringing the product to the site of filling.

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattiola et al. in view of Niedwiecki et al. (6755225).

The Mattiola et al. reference discloses a system for inspecting (inherent), evacuating, vaporizing and compressing a gas such as oxygen into gas cylinders (see Column 4, line 5 to Column 6, line 20), but doesn't disclose the concept of transporting the system to the site of filling. However, the Niedwiecki et al. reference discloses another "mobile gas canister transfilling station" (see Figures 4-6) wherein the system is transported to the site of filling (see disclosure). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Mattiola et al. system by making it mobile in view of the teachings of the Niedwiecki et al. reference in order to simplify the refilling of gas cylinders or vehicles for the end user by bringing the product to the site of filling.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 11/5/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a transfilling station **which also holds the evacuated gas from the gas containers**) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Further, the Examiner construes the mobile filling station (elements 1-4) to be of one footprint, since it is moved to and from designated locations as a single unit.

In response to applicant's argument that the Hermeling and Mattiola references don't disclose the step of "inspecting" the canisters, see the above rejections and the following. The definition of "inspecting", taken in its broadest interpretation, is to "look over", which would be an inherent step when dealing with gas cylinders that need to be refilled. The person doing the refilling would certainly "look over" the gas cylinder prior to connecting it to the manifold and would notice any obvious defects (e.g., dents, loose valve, etc...). Further, many safety regulations require full inspection of the equipment when working with high pressure gas cylinders. It is also noted that the feature upon which applicant relies (i.e., inspecting the canisters "in order to determine their suitability for refilling") is not recited in the rejected claim(s).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior of record pertains to various gas cylinder refilling systems that require inspection of the cylinders prior to refilling as a precautionary measure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/711,787

Art Unit: 3751

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L. Maust whose telephone number is (571) 272-4891. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thur. 7:00-5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,787 Page 8

Art Unit: 3751

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy L Maust/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

1/7/11